	UNITED S	TATES DIST	RICT COURT	FILED HS DISTRICT CAUGE	
···		District of _		RASKA	
	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA			2007 JUL 27 PM 2: 4:1	
	V.	ORJ		ON PENDING TRIAL	
	CESAR ALFREDO TORRES		mber: 4:07CR3099	OFFICE OF THE OLEMA	
	Defendant				
In a detentio	accordance with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3 on of the defendant pending trial in this case.	142(f), a detention hearing	g has been held. I conclude	that the following facts require the	
Part I—Findings of Fact					
[] (1)	(1) The defendant is charged with an offense described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) and has been convicted of a federal offense state or local offense that would have been a federal offense if a circumstance giving rise to federal jurisdiction had existed that is a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 3156(a)(4). an offense for which the maximum sentence is life imprisonment or death.				
	an offense for which a maximum term of imprisonment of ten years or more is prescribed in				
[] (3)	a felony that was committed after the defendar § 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or comparable state or lo The offense described in finding (1) was committe A period of not more than five years has elapsed si for the offense described in finding (1). Findings Nos. (1), (2) and (3) establish a rebuttable safety of (an) other person(s) and the community.	cal offenses. In while the defendant was ince the date of converge date of converge presumption that no converge presumption the converge presumption the converge presumption the conve	s on release pending trial for viction release of the didition or combination of corendant has not rebutted this p	a federal, state or local offense. efendant from imprisonment	
x (1)	There is probable cause to believe that	t the defendant has	committed an offense		
` '	for which a maximum term of impunder 18 U.S.C. § 924(c).	risonment of ten ye	ars or 21 U.S.C. Se	ec 801 et seg	
X (2)	The defendant has not rebutted the presumption estathe appearance of the defendant as required and the	ablished by finding 1 that a e safety of the community Alternative Findings (I		of conditions will reasonably assure	
(1)	There is a serious risk that the defendant will not a There is a serious risk that the defendant will endar	ppear. nger the safety of another	person or the community.		
I find derance of	Part II—Writt d that the credible testimony and information submit of the evidence that	tted at the hearing establish		vincing evidence a prepon-	
reasonabl Governm	Part III— defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney tent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving se opportunity for private consultation with defense ent, the person in charge of the corrections facility stion with a court proceeding.	sentences or being neight	representative for confinement custody pending appeal. Tourt of the United States of to the United States marsha	he defendant shall be afforded a	
Signature of Judicial Officer					
	David L. Piester, U.S. Magistrate Judge Name and Title of Judicial Officer				
			-, -, -, -, -, -, -, -, -, -, -, -, -, -		

^{*}Insert as applicable: (a) Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. § 801 et seq.); (b) Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. § 951 et seq.); or (c) Section 1 of Act of Sept. 15, 1980 (21 U.S.C. § 955a).